Conserved currents for the sine-Gordon model, their renormalizability and summability in pAQFT

Author: Fabrizio Zanello

Institute: University of Potsdam

Location: Algebraic, analytic, geometric structures emerging from quantum field theory, Chengdu

Date: March 11th, 2024



The sine-Gordon model in perspective I



Classical theory

• Spacetime: 2-D Minkowski space $\mathbb{M}_2 = (\mathbb{R}^2, \eta)$, with signature (+, -). Light-cone coordinates (τ, ξ) are expressed in terms of cartesian coordinates (t, \vec{x}) by

$$au = rac{1}{2}(ec{x}+t), \qquad \xi = rac{1}{2}(ec{x}-t)$$

 $\label{eq:configurations:} \quad \varphi \in \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2) := \mathsf{\Gamma}^\infty(\mathbb{M}_2 \leftarrow \mathsf{E} = \mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{R}) = \mathsf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{M}_2).$

 \odot Lagrangian: horizontal 2-form on J^1E with scalar density given by

$$L = L_0 + L_{ ext{int}} = rac{1}{2} \left[(\partial_t arphi)^2 - (\partial_{ec{x}} arphi)^2
ight] + \cos(a arphi) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad L = arphi_ au arphi_\xi + \cos(a arphi), \quad a > 0$$

◎ Euler-Lagrange equation: also called sine-Gordon equation

$$-\Box arphi - a \sin(a arphi) = -\left(\partial_t^2 - \partial_{ec x}^2
ight) arphi - a \sin(a arphi) = 0 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad arphi_{\xi au} - a \sin(a arphi) = 0.$$

P Remark: Subscripts τ and ξ indicate partial derivation.

Fact: From the theory of integrable systems, it is well-known that the sine-Gordon model admits an infinite number of on-shell conserved currents.

Definition

An on-shell conserved current is (in this setting) a horizontal 1-form $\rho = \rho_1 d\tau + \rho_2 d\xi$ on $J^k E$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$d\left((j^k\varphi)^*\rho\right) = 0,\tag{1}$$

whenever φ is a solution of the sine-Gordon equation. Equation (1) is called an on-shell conservation law.

The sine-Gordon model in perspective II



Bäcklund transformations

Definition

 $\varphi' \in \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2)$ is obtained from a given $\varphi \in \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2)$ by a Bäcklund transformation B_α of parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, in notation $\varphi' = B_\alpha \varphi$, if φ' satisfies the parametric system of first order PDEs:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\varphi'+\varphi)_{\xi} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\sin\left[\frac{a}{2}(\varphi'-\varphi)\right] \qquad (2) \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{2}(\varphi'-\varphi)_{\tau} = \alpha\sin\left[\frac{a}{2}(\varphi'+\varphi)\right]. \qquad (3)$$

Remark: Bäcklund transformations relate solutions of the sine-Gordon equation!

Definition

 $\varphi' \in \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2)$ is obtained from a given $\varphi \in \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2)$ by an extended Bäcklund transformation \hat{B}_{α} of parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, in notation $\varphi' = \hat{B}_{\alpha}\varphi$, if φ' satisfies (2).

Extended Bäcklund transformations can be interpreted as "Lagrangian symmetries". The application of Noether's Theorem yields a family of on-shell conserved currents.

Proposition

The components of the on-shell conserved currents $s^N = s_1^N d\tau + s_2^N d\xi$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, have the form:

$$s_{2}^{N} = 2 \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} (-1)^{\mu} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2(\mu+1)} \sum_{\substack{n_{0}, \dots, n_{2(N-\mu)} \ge 0\\n_{0}+\dots+n_{2(N-\mu)}=2(\mu+1)\\1 \cdot n_{1}+\dots+2(N-\mu) \cdot n_{2(N-\mu)}=2(N-\mu)}} \frac{A_{1}^{n_{0}} \cdots A_{2(N-\mu)+1}^{n_{2(N-\mu)}}}{n_{0}! \cdots n_{2(N-\mu)}!}.$$

The higher conserved currents

Proposition

$$s_{1}^{N} = -\left[2\sum_{\beta=1}^{N}(-1)^{\beta}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2\beta}\sum_{\substack{n_{1},\dots,n_{2N}\geq 0\\n_{1}+\dots+n_{2N}=2\beta\\1\cdot n_{1}+\dots+2N\cdot n_{2N}=2N}}\frac{A_{1}^{n_{1}}\dots A_{2N}^{n_{2N}}}{n_{1}!\dots n_{2N}!}\right]\cos(a\varphi) \\ -\left[2\sum_{\beta=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{\beta+1}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2\beta+1}\sum_{\substack{n_{1},\dots,n_{2N}\geq 0\\n_{1}+\dots+n_{2N}=2\beta+1\\1\cdot n_{1}+\dots+2N\cdot n_{2N}=2N}}\frac{A_{1}^{n_{1}}\dots A_{2N}^{n_{2N}}}{n_{1}!\dots n_{2N}!}\right]\sin(a\varphi),$$

where the coefficient of $sin(a\varphi)$ is defined only for $N \ge 1$.

A notion of degree

$$\begin{cases} s_1^0 = -2\cos(a\varphi), \\ s_2^0 = \varphi_{\xi}^2, \end{cases} \begin{cases} s_1^1 = \varphi_{\xi}^2\cos(a\varphi) + \frac{2}{a}\varphi_{\xi\xi}\sin(a\varphi), \\ s_2^1 = \frac{1}{4}\varphi_{\xi}^4 + \frac{2}{a^2}\varphi_{\xi}\varphi_{\xi\xi\xi} + \frac{1}{a^2}\varphi_{\xi\xi}^2. \end{cases}$$

Definition

Consider $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{M}_2)$. Assign a degree to the *k*-th derivative w.r.t. ξ , by:

 $\deg(\varphi_{k\xi}) = k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$

Extend to monomials in the derivatives of φ by additivity. A polynomial in the derivatives of φ is homogeneous of degree d if all its terms have degree d.

Proposition

The components of s^N have homogeneous degrees deg $(s_1^N) = 2N$ and deg $(s_2^N) = 2(N+1)$.

The sine-Gordon model in perspective III



The general philosophy of pAQFT

A classical field theory is essentially described by its Lagrangian $L = L_0 + L_{int}$.



- $\odot \hbar$ -deformation \longrightarrow (Formal) Deformation quantization.
- \odot λ -deformation \longrightarrow Perturbation.

(Formal) Deformation quantization

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{free classical fields} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{F : \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2) \to \mathbb{C}, \ \mu \text{causal} \} \\ \\ & \downarrow^{\hbar} \\ \hline \\ free \ \text{quantum fields} \\ \mathcal{A}\llbracket \hbar \rrbracket = \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n \hbar^n \, | \, \mathcal{A}_n \in \mathcal{A} \} \end{array}$$

Star product: <u>non-commutative</u> ∀F, G ∈ A → F ★ G ∈ A[[ħ]] F ★ G → F ⋅ G.

Microlocal analysis: imposing special requirements on the wavefront sets, define

$$(F\star G)[\varphi] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^n}{n!} \left\langle F^{(n)}[\varphi], (W^{\otimes n}) \star G^{(n)}[\varphi] \right\rangle, \qquad W \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{M}_2).$$

 $\blacktriangleright \quad \mathsf{Fact:} \quad \mathsf{Deformation of Poisson } \ast \mathsf{-algebras} \ (\mathcal{A}\llbracket \hbar \rrbracket, \star, [\,,\,]_{\star},^* \) \xrightarrow[\hbar \to 0]{} (\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \{\,,\,\},^* \).$

Perturbation





◎ S-matrix: $S(L_{int}) \in A[\lambda]((\hbar))$ encodes the notion of "Heisenberg interaction picture" $F(\varphi_{ret}) = (F)_{ret}(\varphi)$ in a perturbative way, by Bogoliubov formula:

$$F
ightarrow (F)_{\rm ret} = rac{\hbar}{i} rac{d}{d\kappa} ig(S(\lambda L_{\rm int})^{\star - 1} \star S(\lambda L_{\rm int} + \kappa F) ig) \Big|_{\kappa = 0}.$$

Interaction picture: time-ordered products

The time-ordered products are multilinear maps $T_n: \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} \to \mathcal{A}\llbracket\hbar\rrbracket$, that satisfy certain (physically motivated) axioms, and are used to define:

$$S(\lambda L_{\mathrm{int}}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{i\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^n T_n(L_{\mathrm{int}}^{\otimes n}).$$

If L_{int} is a regular field, then $T_n(L_{\text{int}}^{\otimes n}) = \underbrace{L_{\text{int}} \star_{\Delta^F} \cdots \star_{\Delta^F} L_{\text{int}}}_{n-\text{times}}$, where

$$(F\star_{\Delta^F} G)[\varphi] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^n}{n!} \left\langle F^{(n)}[\varphi], (\Delta^F)^{\otimes n} * G^{(n)}[\varphi] \right\rangle.$$

Problem: What happens if L_{int} is not a regular field?

The renormalization problem

For a general interaction Lagrangian $L_{\mathsf{int}} \in \mathcal{A}$, one could naively try to compute

$$\check{T}_n(L_{\text{int}}(x_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes L_{\text{int}}(x_n))$$
 "=" $\underbrace{L_{\text{int}}(x_1)\star_{\Delta^F}\cdots\star_{\Delta^F}L_{\text{int}}(x_n)}_{n-\text{times}}$

$$(\Delta^{F})^{n_{12}}(x_1 - x_2)(\Delta^{F})^{n_{13}}(x_1 - x_3)\cdots(\Delta^{F})^{n_{23}}(x_2 - x_3)\cdots$$

These products are defined, by Hörmander's sufficient criterion, only on:

$$\mathbb{\check{M}}_{2}^{n} = \left\{ \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \right) \in \mathbb{M}_{2}^{n} \mid x_{i} \neq x_{j}, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n \right\}.$$

Fact: Renormalization is the inductive (on $n \ge 1$) construction of $T_n(L_{int}^{\otimes n})$:

- \odot by inductive hypotesis (and axioms), $\check{T}_n(L_{int}^{\otimes n})$ is defined on $\mathbb{M}_2^n \setminus \Delta_n$;
- \odot to complete the inductive step, \check{T}_n is extended to the whole \mathbb{M}_2^n .

Scaling degree of distributions

Definitior

The scaling degree of $t \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ in 0 is: $\mathsf{sd}(t) = \inf \{ r \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\rho \downarrow 0} \rho^r t(\rho x) = 0 \}.$

Theorem (Brunetti, Fredenhagen, Epstein, Glaser,...)

Let $t^0 \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{ 0 \})$. Then:

- \odot If $\operatorname{sd}(t^0) < d \Rightarrow \exists! \text{ extension } t \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{sd}(t) = \operatorname{sd}(t^0).$
- ◎ If $d \leq sd(t^0) < \infty$ ⇒ There are several extensions $t \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $sd(t) = sd(t^0)$. Given a particular extension \overline{t} , the general extension t is of the form

$$t = \overline{t} + \sum_{|a| \le \operatorname{sd}(t_0) - d} C_a \partial^a \delta, \qquad C_a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Renormalizability of interacting fields

Unrenormalized retarded functionals are given by Bogoliubov formula, on $\mathbb{M}_2^{n+1} \setminus \Delta_{n+1}$:

$$(\check{F})_{\rm ret} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{d\kappa} (\check{S}(\lambda L_{\rm int})^{\star - 1} \star \check{S}(\lambda L_{\rm int} + \kappa F)) \Big|_{\kappa = 0} = \sum_{n = 0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n! \hbar^n} \check{R}_n(L_{\rm int}^{\otimes n}, F).$$

The unrenormalized retarded products \check{R}_n are then inductively extended to \mathbb{M}_2^{n+1} , $\forall n \geq 1$.

Definition

Consider
$$(\check{F})_{ret}$$
 as above. Let $N(L_{int}, F, \cdot) \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be defined as: $N(L_{int}, F, 0) = 0$,

$$N(L_{ ext{int}},F,n) = \max\left\{0,\, ext{sd}\left(\check{R}_n(L_{ ext{int}}^{\otimes n},F)
ight) - 2n - N(L_{ ext{int}},F,n-1) + 1
ight\}, \quad n\geq 1.$$

The unrenormalized retarded functional $(\check{F})_{ret}$ is:

(a) **renormalizable by power counting** if $N(L_{int}, F, \cdot)$ is bounded;

(b) super-renormalizable by power counting if the number of non-vanishing values of N(L_{int}, F, ·) is finite.

The sine-Gordon model in pAQFT

Interaction Lagrangian:

$$L_{\mathsf{int}} = \mathsf{cos}(aarphi) = rac{1}{2} ig(e^{iaarphi} + e^{-iaarphi} ig) \quad \stackrel{\mathsf{pAQFT}}{\longrightarrow} \quad rac{1}{2} ig(V_{a} + V_{-a} ig) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

○ Vertex operators: V_a: (g ∈ C[∞]_c(M₂), φ ∈ 𝔅(M₂)) → V_a(g)[φ] = ∫_{M₂} e^{iaφ}g.
 ○ S-matrix:

$$S(\lambda L_{\text{int}}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{i\lambda}{2\hbar}\right)^n T_n(\underbrace{V_{\pm a} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\pm a}}_{n-\text{times}}) \in \mathcal{A}\llbracket\lambda\rrbracket((\hbar)).$$

 \odot Observables: components of $s^N = (s_1^N) d au + (s_2^N) d\xi$ act in the following way

$$s_{1,2}^{\sf N}\colon ig(g\in \mathit{C}^\infty_c(\mathbb{M}_2),\,arphi\in\mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2)ig)\mapsto s_{1,2}^{\sf N}(g)[arphi]=\int_{\mathbb{M}_2}s_{1,2}^{\sf N}(arphi)\,g.$$

The sine-Gordon model in perspective IV



First main result

We consider the unrenormalized retarded components

$$(\check{s}_{1,2}^{N})_{\rm ret} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{d\kappa} (\check{S}(\lambda L_{\rm int})^{\star-1} \star \check{S}(\lambda L_{\rm int} + \kappa s_{1,2}^{N})) \Big|_{\kappa=0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n}}{n! \hbar^{n}} \check{R}_{n} (L_{\rm int}^{\otimes n}, s_{1,2}^{N}).$$

Theorem

The scaling degree of the unrenormalized retarded products above is uniformly bounded by the degree of the components. More specifically, for every $n \ge 1$ it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sd}\left(\check{R}_n\left(L_{\operatorname{int}}^{\otimes n}, s_1^N\right)\right) = \operatorname{deg}(s_1^N) = 2N, \\ & \operatorname{sd}\left(\check{R}_n\left(L_{\operatorname{int}}^{\otimes n}, s_2^N\right)\right) = \operatorname{deg}(s_2^N) = 2(N+1) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

The unrenormalized retarded components $(\check{s}_{1,2}^N)_{ret}$ are super-renormalizable by power counting.

 ${ig Q}$ Idea: The uniform bound on the scaling degree of the retarded products implies:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}_{ ext{int}}, s_1^{\mathcal{N}}, n) &= ext{sd}\left(\check{\mathcal{R}}_n(\mathcal{L}_{ ext{int}}^{\otimes n}, s_1^{\mathcal{N}})
ight) - 2n - \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}_{ ext{int}}, s_1^{\mathcal{N}}, n-1) + 1 \ &= 2N - 2n - \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}_{ ext{int}}, s_1^{\mathcal{N}}, n-1) + 1 \leq 2N - 2n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

 and

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{N}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{int}}, s_2^{\mathsf{N}}, n) = \mathsf{sd}\left(\check{\mathsf{R}}_n(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{int}}^{\otimes n}, s_2^{\mathsf{N}})
ight) - 2n - \mathsf{N}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{int}}, s_2^{\mathsf{N}}, n-1) + 1 \ &= 2(\mathsf{N}+1) - 2n - \mathsf{N}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{int}}, s_2^{\mathsf{N}}, n-1) + 1 \leq 2(\mathsf{N}+1) - 2n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The sine-Gordon model in perspective V



Gaussian states and summability of the S-matrix

Definition

Fix a configuration $\varphi \in \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2)$. The Gaussian state ω_{φ} is the evaluation map:

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\varphi} \colon \mathcal{A}\llbracket \hbar, \lambda \rrbracket \to \mathbb{C}\llbracket \hbar, \lambda \rrbracket \\ \mathcal{F} & \mapsto \quad \omega_{\varphi}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}[\varphi]. \end{split}$$

Theorem (Bahns, Rejzner)

Under proper technical conditions, there exists a constant $C = C(\gamma, f)$, $f \in \mathscr{E}(\mathbb{M}_2^n)$, such that for all *n*, the expectation value of the *n*-th order contribution to the *S*-matrix of sine-Gordon model in the state ω_{φ} satisfies the following inequality:

$$\omega_{\varphi}\left(S_n(L_{\mathsf{int}})(f)\right)| = |S_n(L_{\mathsf{int}})(f)[\varphi]| \leq \frac{[\frac{n}{2}]C^n}{\left([\frac{n}{2}]!\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}}$$

Second main result

Consider the renormalized retarded components

$$(s_{1,2}^N)_{\mathsf{ret}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \underbrace{rac{1}{n!\hbar^n} \mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{int}}^{\otimes n}, s_{1,2}^N)}_{\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{int}}, s_{1,2}^N)}$$

Theorem

Under the same hypothesis as above, there exist two pairs of constants $\mathcal{K}_{\gamma,f,a\hbar,N}^{s_1}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,f}^{s_1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\gamma,f,a\hbar,N}^{s_2}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,f}^{s_2}$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, the expectation values $\omega_{\varphi} \left(\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{L}_{int}, s_{1,2}^N)(f) \right)$ satisfy the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\omega_{\varphi}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}(L_{\mathrm{int}},s_{1}^{N})(f)\right)\right| &= \left|\mathcal{R}_{n}(L_{\mathrm{int}},s_{1}^{N})(f)[\varphi]\right| \leq \mathcal{K}_{\gamma,f,a\hbar,N}^{\mathfrak{s}_{1}} \frac{(n+1)^{2}n^{2N}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,g}^{\mathfrak{s}_{1}}\right)^{n}}{\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]!\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}},\\ \left|\omega_{\varphi}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n}(L_{\mathrm{int}},s_{2}^{N})(f)\right)\right| &= \left|\mathcal{R}_{n}(L_{\mathrm{int}},s_{2}^{N})(f)[\varphi]\right| \leq \mathcal{K}_{\gamma,f,a\hbar,N}^{\mathfrak{s}_{2}} \frac{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]n^{2N}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,f}^{\mathfrak{s}_{2}}\right)^{n}}{\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]!\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}},\end{aligned}$$

Some future research directions

- Conservation and involutivity: Classically, the higher currents are conserved on-shell. Also, they are in involution w.r.t. the Peierl's bracket. In pAQFT, can renormalization be done in such a way to preserve conservation and involutivity?
 Idea: Adopt the point of view of Bahns and Wrochna in the analysis of the extensions of distributions satisfying a given set of PDEs.
- Symmetries: Is it possible to formulate the mechanism of production of the (classical) higher currents in a more general mathematical framework?
 Idea: Noether's Theorem for actions of Lie groupoids of symmetries, multisymplectic geometry ...

"The art of doing mathematics consists in finding that special case which contains all the germs of generality."

David Hilbert

