(A diagrammatic calculus for) Interacting scalar QFT on a causal set

Fay Dowker

Imperial College London

Chengdu March 2024

- arxiv:0909.0944 S. Johnston
- arxiv:1703.00610 R.D. Sorkin
- arxiv:1107.0698 R.D. Sorkin
- arXiv:1908.01973 E. Dable-Heath, C.J. Fewster, K. Rejzner, N. Woods

(ロ) (部) (注) (注)

- 2

- arXiv:2306.12484 I. Jubb
- arXiv:2402.08555 E. Albertini, FD, A. Nasiri, S. Zalel

Plan

- Lorentzian spacetime: a measure space with an order relation.
- A causal set: a discrete space (counting measure) with an order relation
- Free scalar QFT on a fixed causal set
- Interacting QFT e.g. $\lambda \phi^3$
- Diagrams for time ordered correlators in the in-in formalism: perturbation series expansion terminates if causal set is past-finite
- Towards a comparison with the continuum

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

Lorentzian spacetime



- $Q \prec P, P \prec S, Q \prec S \dots$ etc.
- The causal relation is a mathematical order: continuum spacetime is a poset

(D) (A) (A) (A)

• Continuum spacetime is a measure space (volume measure)

Theorem (Kronheimer & Penrose, 1967; Hawking, 2014; Malament, 1977)

For every distinguishing Lorentzian spacetime (d > 2) the topology, differentiable structure and metric is encoded in the order and the measure.

Causal set = Discrete spacetime

A causal set (causet) (C, \prec) is a **discrete** ordered measure space



- The measure is the counting measure
- Hasse diagram: no arrows needed
- $0 \prec 1, 0 \prec 2, 1 \prec 3, 2 \prec 3, 1 \prec 4, 0 \prec 3, 0 \prec 4.$
- Can always think of the ground set as $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.
- Terminology: minimal, maximal, past, future, up-set, down-set
- Quantum gravity: Physically, continuum spacetime is an approximation to a causal set and the gravitational path integral is a sum over causal sets
- Regularizing continuum theories: Physically, the causal set is an approximation to continuum.

By analogy with QFT in curved spacetime, we'll look at QFT on a fixed causal set.

Free real scalar QFT on finite globally hyperbolic spacetime M

- The retarded Green function G is unique and $(\Box m^2)G = \delta$.
- The Pauli-Jordan function is $\Delta := G G^T$ and G^T equals the advanced Green function.

$$[\phi^x, \phi^y] = i\Delta^{xy} = i(G^{xy} - G^{yx})$$
 Peierls form of CCR.

• Lemma (Sorkin, 2017): $Kernel(\Box - m^2) = Image(\Delta)$

Proof (one way): Think of $i\Delta$ as an integral operator on functions on M:

$$i\Delta f^x := \int_M dV_y \ i\,\Delta^{xy} f^y$$

 $i\Delta$ is Hermitian, $\overline{(i\Delta)} = -i\Delta$ and so the nonzero eigenvalues come in pairs $\pm\lambda$:

$$i\Delta \ u := \lambda \, u \,, \quad i\Delta \ \overline{u} := -\lambda \ \overline{u} \,.$$

If u satisfies $i\Delta \ u = \lambda \ u, \quad \lambda \neq 0$, then, $(\Box - m^2) \ i\Delta \ u = \lambda \ (\Box - m^2) \ u$ $i \ (\Box - m^2) \ (G - G^T) \ u = \lambda \ (\Box - m^2) \ u$ $0 = \lambda (\Box - m^2) \ u$, so u is a solution. Free real scalar QFT on finite C (Johnston, 2009)

- Let |C| = N. A scalar field history is a vector in \mathbb{R}^N .
- Assume we do, somehow, have the retarded Green function G^{xy} , an $N \times N$ retarded (lower triangular) matrix on C (more later).
- Then the Pauli-Jordan function is still $\Delta^{xy} = G^{xy} G^{T^{xy}}$ and we require

$$[\phi^x, \phi^y] = i\Delta^{xy} \qquad \text{CCR}$$

• Motivated by Lemma: Hilbert space of solutions \rightarrow image of $i\Delta.$ i.e. span of eigenvectors

$$i\Delta u_k := \lambda_k u_k, \quad i\Delta \overline{u}_k := -\lambda_k \overline{u}_k, \quad \lambda_k > 0.$$

•
$$\phi = \sum_{k} \sqrt{\lambda_k} (a_k u + a_k^{\dagger} \overline{u}_k), \qquad [a_k, a_l^{\dagger}] = \delta_{kl}.$$

- Define $|SJ\rangle$, the Sorkin-Johnston state, to be the state annihilated by the a_k 's. Hilbert space is then the usual Fock space.
- $|SJ\rangle$ is a distinguished "ground state". No need for the existence of timelike Killing vector. In contrast to the usual philosophy in Algebraic QFT.
- The free theory is a finite set of oscillator modes.

(Sorkin, 2011; Sorkin, 2017): $G \longrightarrow i\Delta \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow \phi$

$\lambda \phi^3$ theory on $C = \{1, 2, \dots N\}$ (in-in formalism)

The interacting theory was first defined in path integral form (Sorkin, 2011).

Let the interaction region be a subset of C, excluding (at least) the minimal elements of C. The Heisenberg and interaction pictures coincide on the minimal elements of C where the state is $|SJ\rangle$ which is the in-state.

Let ϕ denote the interaction picture field. The local interaction Hamiltonian operator is $H^x = \frac{g}{3!} (\phi^x)^3$.

The Heisenberg picture fields are defined by (Albertini, 2021; Jubb, 2023)

$$\Phi^{x} = e^{iH^{1}} e^{iH^{2}} \dots e^{iH^{x-1}} \phi^{x} e^{-iH^{x-1}} \dots e^{-iH^{2}} e^{-iH^{1}}$$

where $H^y = \frac{g}{3!} (\phi^y)^3$. Then, $\Phi^x = \phi^x + a$ polynomial in the ϕ 's in the past of x.

Sketch of proof: use spacelike commutativity to reorder the unitaries so that the ones spacelike to x are next to x. Commute the spacelike unitaries through ϕ^x and cancel them off. Then use $e^{-B}Ae^B = A + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!}[[A, B], B] + \dots$ iteratively on the rest.

An interacting QFT on a causal set was also defined in (Dable-Heath *et al.*, 2020) using deformation quantization. I believe they are the same theory!

Algebras

The algebra ${\mathfrak A}$ generated by all the Φ 's equals the algebra generated by all the ϕ 's.

Proof by induction: The Heisenberg fields are finite polynomials in the interaction picture fields. For inclusion the other way, argue level by level. For all minimal elements, $\phi = \Phi$. Now consider an x that has only minimal elements in its past. $\Phi^x = \phi^x + a$ polynomial in ϕ 's at minimal elements $= \phi^x + a$ polynomial in Φ 's. So Φ^x is a polynomial in ϕ 's. And so on.

- Result holds for subalgebras associated to subcausets that are down sets.
- All algebras are finitely generated.
- $\bullet\,$ Haag duality does not hold in general: depends on C and what subcausets one allows for local algebras.
- Heisenberg operators at spacelike elements commute. However, the expected relationships between algebras in subcausets (for example domains of dependence) have to be examined.

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

Causal Diagrams ((Albertini *et al.*, 2024) after (Dickinson *et al.*, 2014)) Simplest example: the VEV in the in-state to order 3 (assuming $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$)



• n vertices (excluding x) at order n,

- each vertex is connected to x by at least one directed path and no closed directed cycles: explicitly causal.
- each vertex z equals $i\frac{g}{3!}$ and is summed over Past(x).
- each arrowed edge $a \rightarrow b$ equals $-iG_{ba}$, the retarded Green fn.,
- each undirected edge a b equals Δ_{ab}^{F} , the Feyman propagator,
- Symmetry factors from ways of connecting the half-legs,
- Divide by |Aut(diagram)|, the number of automorphisms.

On $C,\,\Delta^F_{zz}<\infty:$ the perturbative series terminates and is finite.

Exploring the Relationship with Continuum QFT

- Consider a causet Poisson sprinkled into some finite globally hyperbolic spacetime.
- Do simulations to approximate the sum over diagrams for examples of in-in time ordered correlators. And compare to the continuum.
- To do this in practice, need the causet analogue of G for Klein Gordon operator.

Examples:

- 2d Minkowski space, m = 0: $G^{xy} \propto R^{xy}$ where R is the graph adjacency matrix: $R^{xy} = 1$ if $y \prec x$ and 0 otherwise.
- 4d Minkowski space, m = 0: $G^{xy} \propto L^{xy}$ where L is the nearest-neighbour adjacency matrix: $K^{xy} = 1$ if $y \prec x$ is a link, and 0 otherwise.
- Can use fact that deSitter space is conformally flat to deduce causet G.
- If one knows the massless G one can write down the massive G as a finite expansion in convolutions.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Discussion

- All goes through for any polynomial self-interaction can also vary.
- Termination of perturbation series comes from past-finiteness: cosmology
- There exists a path integral (sum-over-histories) formulation, generating functionals.
- Compare to continuum and confront the "non-continuum" modes
- Renormalisation and the continuum limit of the theory as the density of sprinkling $\rightarrow \infty$.
- $\bullet~G$ for other spacetimes. Other sorts of fields.
- Back reaction on the causal set: towards quantum gravity

(D) (A) (A) (A)

Albertini, Emma. 2021.

 ϕ^4 interaction in causal set theory.

ALBERTINI, EMMA, DOWKER, FAY, NASIRI, ARAD, & ZALEL, STAV. 2024. In-in correlators and scattering amplitudes on a causal set. arxiv:2402.08555.

ALEXANDROV, A. D., & OVCHINNIKOVA, V. V. 1953. Notes on the foundations of relativity theory. *Vestnik leningrad. univ.*, 95–110.

DABLE-HEATH, EDMUND, FEWSTER, CHRISTOPHER J., REJZNER, KASIA, & WOODS, NICK. 2020.
Algebraic classical and quantum field theory on causal sets. *Physical review d*, **101**(6).

DICKINSON, ROBERT, FORSHAW, JEFF, MILLINGTON, PETER, & COX, BRIAN. 2014. Manifest causality in quantum field theory with sources and detectors. *Jhep*, **06**, 049.

HAWKING, STEPHEN. 2014. Singularities and the geometry of spacetime.

Epj h, **39**, 413.

JOHNSTON, STEVEN. 2009.

Fay Dowker (Imperial College London) (A diagrammatic calculus for) Interacti

Chengdu March 2024 11/12

Feynman Propagator for a Free Scalar Field on a Causal Set. *Phys. rev. lett.*, **103**, 180401.

JUBB, I. 2023.

Interacting Quantum Scalar Field Theory on a Causal Set. In: BAMBI, C., & MODESTO, L. (eds), The handbook of quantum gravity. Springer.

KRONHEIMER, E. H., & PENROSE, R. 1967.

On the structure of causal spaces.

Mathematical proceedings of the cambridge philosophical society, 63(4), 481-501.

MALAMENT, DAVID B. 1977.

The class of continuous timelike curves determines the topology of spacetime. J. math. phys., 18, 1399–1404.

SORKIN, RAFAEL D. 2011.

Scalar Field Theory on a Causal Set in Histories Form.

J. phys. conf. ser., **306**, 012017.

SORKIN, RAFAEL D. 2017.

From Green Function to Quantum Field.

Int. j. geom. meth. mod. phys., 14(08), 1740007.

ZEEMAN, E.C. 1964.

Fay Dowker (Imperial College London) (A diagrammatic calculus for) Interacti

Chengdu March 2024 11/12

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Causality implies the Lorentz group. J. math. phys., 5, 490–493.

æ

Typical sprinkled causet in 2D Minkowski space



- Not a cuddly low valance graph but a nonlocal beast!
- Continuum Lorentzian spacetimes are, if not actually nonlocal themselves, then teetering on the edge of being nonlocal.

Chengdu March 2024

12 / 12