

# A calculus for magnetic pseudodifferential super operators

Gi Hyun Lee

Ghent University

Gi Hyun.Lee@ugent.be

Algebraic, Analytic, Geometric Structures Emerging from Quantum Field Theory

@Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

March 12, 2024

This talk is based on the joint works with M. Lein [LL22, LL24].

- Von Neumann algebras
- The (magnetic) Weyl calculus
- Motivation
- The (magnetic) super Weyl calculus
- Future Projects

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . The **commutant** of  $\mathcal{S}$  is

$$\mathcal{S}' := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}); ST = TS \text{ for all } S \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

We denote the bicommutant  $(\mathcal{S}')'$  by  $\mathcal{S}''$ .

## Definition

A **von Neumann algebra** is a unital  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\mathcal{N}'' = \mathcal{N}$ .

The two most basic examples:

- $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .
- $\mathcal{N} = L_\infty(X)$ , where  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.

$$\mathcal{N}_+ := \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_+.$$

## Definition

A **trace** on  $\mathcal{N}$  is a map  $\tau : \mathcal{N}_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(c_1 S + c_2 T) &= c_1 \tau(S) + c_2 \tau(T) && \forall S, T \in \mathcal{N}_+ \quad \forall c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \tau(USU^*) &= \tau(S) && \forall S \in \mathcal{N}_+ \quad \forall \text{unitary elements } U \in \mathcal{N}.\end{aligned}$$

## Definition

- $\tau$  is called **faithful** if  $S \in \mathcal{N}_+$  and  $\tau(S) = 0$  implies  $S = 0$ .
- $\tau$  is called **normal** if for each increasing bounded net  $(S_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{N}_+$  such that  $S := \sup_\alpha S_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_+$ , we have  $\sup_\alpha \tau(S_\alpha) = \tau(S)$ .
- $\tau$  is called **semifinite** if for each projection  $P \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exists an increasing net of projections  $(P_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\tau(P_\alpha) < \infty \forall \alpha$  and  $P = \inf\{Q \in \mathcal{N}; Q \text{ is a projection such that } P_\alpha \leq Q \forall \alpha\}$ .

# Noncommutative $L_p$ -spaces

Let  $\tau$  be a faithful, normal, semifinite (f.n.s.) trace on  $\mathcal{N}$ . We denote the set of  $\tau$ -measurable operators affiliated with  $\mathcal{N}$  by  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ . For each  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we define

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}, \tau) := \left\{ T \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{N}, \tau); \tau(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The two most basic examples:

- If  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , then the standard operator trace  $\text{Tr}$  is an f.n.s. trace on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . We have  $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{L}_p$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_p$  is the ideal of Schatten  $p$ -class operators.
- If  $\mathcal{N} = L_\infty(X)$ , then  $\int d\mu$  is an f.n.s. trace on  $L_\infty(X)$ . We have  $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{N}) = L_p(X)$ .

## Phase space notations

- $\Xi = T^*\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d*}$  (the phase space)
- Elements of  $\Xi$  will be denoted by  $X = (x, \xi)$ ,  $Y = (y, \eta)$ ,  $Z = (z, \zeta)$  with space components  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and momentum components  $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $\Xi$  is endowed with the symplectic form  $\sigma(X, Y) := \xi \cdot y - x \cdot \eta$ .

# Canonical commutation relations

The canonical commutation relations (CCR),

$$-i[Q_j, Q_k] = 0, \quad -i[P_j, P_k] = 0, \quad -i[Q_j, P_k] = \varepsilon\delta_{jk}.$$

can be equivalently reformulated in terms of the family of unitary operators  $\{w(X)\}_{X \in \Xi}$ , called the Weyl system.

$$w(X) := e^{-i\sigma(X, (Q, P))} = e^{-i(\xi \cdot Q - x \cdot P)}.$$

We have

- $w(X)w(Y) = e^{+i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sigma(X, Y)}w(X + Y).$
- $\{w(X); X \in \Xi\}'' = \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$



# The magnetic setting

- Consider a charged particle moving in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  subjected to the magnetic field  $B$ . Pick a vector potential  $A$  so that  $B = dA$ .
- Assume one of the following two assumptions on the magnetic field  $B$  and associated vector potential  $A$ .
  - (PB) All components of  $B$  belong to  $C_{u,\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and all components of  $A$  belong to  $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
  - (B) All components of  $B$  belong to  $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and all components of  $A$  belong to  $C_{\text{pol}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- We introduce two small parameters [Le10], which are crucial for asymptotic expansions arise in the study of semiclassical limits.:
  - The coupling of the charge to the magnetic field  $\lambda$ .
  - A semiclassical parameter  $\varepsilon$ .

# The magnetic Weyl system

- In the magnetic setting, the building block observables are the position operators  $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_d)$  and the kinetic momentum operators  $P^A = (P_1^A, \dots, P_d^A)$  defined by

$$Q_j f(x) := \varepsilon x_j f(x), \quad P_j^A f(x) := -i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) - \lambda A_j(\varepsilon x) f(x).$$

- $Q$  and  $P^A$  satisfy the commutation relations:

$$-i[Q_j, Q_k] = 0, \quad -i[P_j^A, P_k^A] = \varepsilon \lambda B_{jk}(Q), \quad -i[Q_j, P_k^A] = \varepsilon \delta_{jk}.$$

# The magnetic Weyl system

These relations can be encoded into the magnetic Weyl system  $\{w^A(X)\}_{X \in \Xi}$  defined by

$$w^A(X) := e^{-i\sigma(X, (Q, P^A))} = e^{-i(\xi \cdot Q - x \cdot P^A)}.$$

We have

- $w^A(X)w^A(Y) = e^{+i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sigma(X, Y)}\omega^B(Q; x, y)w^A(X + Y).$
- $\{w^A(X); X \in \Xi\}'' = \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$

# Magnetic Weyl quantization

## Definition (Magnetic Weyl quantization [MP04])

For all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$  and magnetic fields satisfying (PB), we define

$$\text{op}^A(f) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\Xi} dX (\mathcal{F}_\sigma f)(X) w^A(X).$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma f$  is the symplectic Fourier transform of  $f$  defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}_\sigma f)(X) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\Xi} dX' e^{i\sigma(X, X')} f(X').$$

Magnetic Weyl quantization inherits gauge-covariance from the magnetic Weyl system.

$$\text{op}^{A+d\chi}(f) = e^{+i\lambda\chi(Q)} \text{op}^A(f) e^{-i\lambda\chi(Q)}.$$

# The magnetic Weyl product

Given  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ , we define

$$(f \star^B g)(X) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \int_{\Xi} dY \int_{\Xi} dZ e^{+i\sigma(X, Y+Z)} e^{+i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sigma(Y, Z)} \\ e^{-i\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\Gamma^B(\langle x - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(y+z), x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(y-z), x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(y+z) \rangle)} (\mathcal{F}_\sigma f)(Y) (\mathcal{F}_\sigma g)(Z).$$

Then we have  $\text{op}^A(f) \text{op}^A(g) = \text{op}^A(f \star^B g)$ .

# The magnetic Weyl product

The class of Hörmander symbols of order  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and type  $(\rho, \delta)$  with  $0 \leq \rho \leq \delta \leq 1$  is the Fréchet space defined by

$$S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\Xi) := \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\Xi) \mid \sup_{X \in \Xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m - |\alpha| \delta + |\alpha| \rho} \left| \partial_x^a \partial_\xi^\alpha f(X) \right| < \infty \forall a, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \right\}.$$

## Theorem ([IMP07])

Let  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . For magnetic fields  $B$  satisfying (B) and  $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ , the magnetic Weyl product  $\star^B$  gives rise to a continuous bilinear map,

$$\star^B : S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_1}(\Xi) \times S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_2}(\Xi) \longrightarrow S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_1 + m_2}(\Xi).$$

## Theorem ([IMP07])

Suppose that  $B$  satisfies (B) and  $f \in S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\Xi)$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$ . Then  $\text{op}^A(f)$  gives rise to a bounded linear operator from  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to itself.

Furthermore, we can asymptotically expand the product  $f \star^B g$  in  $\varepsilon$  and  $\lambda$ :

## Theorem ([Le10])

Let  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume  $B$  satisfies (B),  $f \in S_{\rho,0}^{m_1}(\Xi)$  and  $g \in S_{\rho,0}^{m_2}(\Xi)$ . Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$f \star^B g = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^n \varepsilon^n \lambda^k (f \star^B g)_{(n,k)} + \tilde{R}_N,$$

where  $(f \star^B g)_{(n,k)} \in S_{\rho,0}^{m_1+m_2-(n+k)\rho}(\Xi)$ ,  $\tilde{R}_N \in S_{\rho,0}^{m_1+m_2-(N+1)\rho}(\Xi)$  and the semi-norms of  $\tilde{R}_N$  is sufficiently small.



- Let  $H$  be a Hamiltonian affiliated to a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  endowed with a f.n.s. trace  $\tau$ . In quantum mechanics, density operators evolve according to the Liouville equation,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = L_H(\rho(t)) := -i[H, \rho(t)], \quad \rho(t_0) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{N}).$$

- $L_H$  maps linear operators to linear operators; physicists refer to those as **super operators**.

- This kind of algebraic approach was useful in many cases, e.g.,
  - systems from statistical mechanics in the thermodynamic limit [BR17].
  - linear response theory [DL17].
- Algebraic approach
  - Makes the mathematical descriptions for various systems rigorous.
  - But it involves technical assumptions on operators which seem difficult to verify for concrete models.

- Pseudodifferential theory
  - The way of assigning functions (called symbols) to operators.
  - Translates properties of symbols to associated pseudodifferential operators.
- We can put  $\rho(t)$  into the framework of pseudodifferential theory (the magnetic Weyl calculus). But what about  $L_H$  or other super operators?

## Goal

The goal of this talk is to introduce the newly constructed pseudodifferential calculus for super operators, which is a natural receptacle of  $L_H$  and other relevant super operators.

# Magnetic pseudodifferential super operators

- $\Xi^2 := \Xi \times \Xi$  (the doubled phase space).
- Elements of  $\Xi^2$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{X} = (X_L, X_R)$ ,  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_L, Y_R)$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_L, Z_R)$ . Here we follow the same convention as before for variables in  $\Xi$ , e.g.,  $X_L = (x_L, \xi_L)$  and  $Y_R = (y_R, \eta_R)$  with  $x_L, y_R \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\xi_L, \eta_R \in \mathbb{R}^{d^*}$ .
- We endow  $\Xi^2$  with the symplectic form  $\Sigma$  defined by

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) := \sigma(X_L, Y_L) + \sigma(X_R, Y_R).$$

- The symplectic Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma F$  of a function  $F$  on  $\Xi^2$  is defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}_\Sigma)(\mathbf{X}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \int_{\Xi^2} d\mathbf{X}' e^{+i\Sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')} F(\mathbf{X}').$$

# Magnetic pseudodifferential super operators

Given a function  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ , set  $\hat{g}^A := \text{op}^A(g)$ .

## Definition (Magnetic Super Weyl System)

For  $\mathbf{X} \in \Xi^2$ , we define

$$W^A(\mathbf{X}) \hat{g}^A \equiv W^A(X_L, X_R) \hat{g}^A := w^A(X_L) \hat{g}^A w^A(X_R).$$

## Definition (Magnetic Pseudodifferential Super Operator)

The magnetic pseudodifferential super operator  $\text{Op}^A(F)$  associated with a function  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi^2)$  is defined by

$$\text{Op}^A(F) \hat{g}^A := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \int_{\Xi^2} d\mathbf{X} (\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma} F)(\mathbf{X}) W^A(\mathbf{X}) \hat{g}^A.$$

If  $F$  is a function of the form  $F(\mathbf{X}) = f_L(X_L)f_R(X_R)$ ,  $f_L, f_R \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Op}^A(F) \hat{g}^A &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \int_{\Xi} dX_L \int_{\Xi} dX_R (\mathcal{F}_\sigma f_L)(X_L) (\mathcal{F}_\sigma f_R)(X_R) \cdot \\ &\quad w^A(X_L) \hat{g}^A w^A(X_R) \\ &= \text{op}^A(f_L) \hat{g}^A \text{op}^A(f_R).\end{aligned}$$

- Example:  $L_h(X_L, X_R) := -ih(X_L) + ih(X_R)$  is the symbol of the Liouville super operator  $\hat{g}^A \mapsto -i[\hat{h}^A, \hat{g}^A] = -i\hat{h}^A \hat{g}^A + i\hat{g}^A \hat{h}^A$ .

# The magnetic semi-super Weyl product

Given  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi^2)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ , can  $\text{Op}^A(F) \hat{g}^A = \text{Op}^A(F) \text{op}^A(g)$  be seen as a magnetic Weyl pseudodifferential operator? If so, then what is its symbol? Answer:

$$F \bullet^B g(X) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3d}} \int_{\Xi^2} d\mathbf{Y} \int_{\Xi} dZ e^{+i\sigma(X, Y_L + Y_R + Z)} e^{+i\frac{\epsilon}{2}\sigma(Y_L + Z, Y_R + Z)} e^{-i\lambda\Omega^B(x, Y_L, Y_R, z)} (\mathcal{F}_\Sigma F)(\mathbf{Y}) (\mathcal{F}_\sigma g)(Z).$$

## Proposition (L.-Lein)

Assume  $B$  satisfies (PB). Then the following holds.

- (1)  $F \bullet^B g \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$  and  $\text{Op}^A(F) \text{op}^A(g) = \text{op}^A(F \bullet^B g)$ .
- (2) For the special case  $F(\mathbf{X}) = f_L(X_L) f_R(X_R)$ ,  $f_L, f_R \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi)$ , the semi-super product reduces to

$$F \bullet^B g = f_L \star^B g \star^B f_R.$$

# The magnetic super Weyl product

Given  $F, G \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi^2)$ , can  $\text{Op}^A(F) \text{Op}^A(G)$  be seen as a magnetic Weyl pseudodifferential super operator? If so, then what is its symbol? Answer:

$$F \sharp^B G(\mathbf{X}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4d}} \int_{\Xi^2} d\mathbf{Y} \int_{\Xi^2} d\mathbf{Z} e^{+i\Sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Z})} e^{+i\frac{\epsilon}{2}\Sigma(r(\mathbf{Y}), \mathbf{Z})} \\ e^{-i\lambda\gamma^B(x_L, y_L, z_L)} e^{-i\lambda\gamma^B(x_R, z_R, y_R)} (\mathcal{F}_\Sigma F)(\mathbf{Y}) (\mathcal{F}_\Sigma G)(\mathbf{Z}).$$

Here we have set  $r(\mathbf{Y}) \equiv r(Y_L, Y_R) := (-Y_L, Y_R)$ .

## Proposition (L.-Lein)

Assume  $B$  satisfies (PB). Then we have  $F \sharp^B G \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi^2)$  and  $\text{Op}^A(F) \text{Op}^A(G) = \text{Op}^A(F \sharp^B G)$ .



## Definition (Hörmander super symbol classes $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_L,m_R}(\Xi^2)$ )

Let  $m_L, m_R \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$ .  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_L,m_R}(\Xi^2)$  is the Fréchet space consists of functions  $F \in C^\infty(\Xi^2)$  such that, for all  $a_L, a_R, \alpha_L, \alpha_R \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , there exists  $C_{a_L a_R \alpha_L \alpha_R} > 0$  such that, for all  $\mathbf{X} = (X_L, X_R) \in \Xi^2$ , we have

$$\left| \partial_{X_L}^{a_L} \partial_{\xi_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{X_R}^{a_R} \partial_{\xi_R}^{\alpha_R} F(\mathbf{X}) \right| \leq C_{a_L a_R \alpha_L \alpha_R} \langle \xi_L \rangle^{m_L - |\alpha_L| \rho + |a_L| \delta} \langle \xi_R \rangle^{m_R - |\alpha_R| \rho + |a_R| \delta}.$$

## Definition (Hörmander super symbol classes $S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi^2)$ )

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$ .  $S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Xi^2)$  is the Fréchet space consists of functions  $F \in C^\infty(\Xi^2)$  such that, for all  $a_L, a_R, \alpha_L, \alpha_R \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , there exists  $C_{a_L a_R \alpha_L \alpha_R} > 0$  such that, for all  $\mathbf{X} = (X_L, X_R) \in \Xi^2$ , we have

$$\left| \partial_{X_L}^{a_L} \partial_{\xi_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{X_R}^{a_R} \partial_{\xi_R}^{\alpha_R} F(\mathbf{X}) \right| \leq C_{a_L a_R \alpha_L \alpha_R} \langle (\xi_L, \xi_R) \rangle^{m - (|\alpha_L| + |\alpha_R|)\rho + (|a_L| + |a_R|)\delta}.$$

# The semi-super product of Hörmander symbols

Using oscillatory integral techniques, we can prove the following result. Here we assume  $B$  satisfies (B),  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon, \lambda \leq 1$ .

## Proposition (L.-Lein)

*The map  $(F, g) \mapsto F \bullet^B g$  gives rise to continuous bilinear maps,*

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet^B : S_{\rho,0}^m(\Xi^2) \times S_{\rho,0}^{m'}(\Xi) &\longrightarrow S_{\rho,0}^{m+m'}(\Xi) \\ \bullet^B : S_{\rho,0}^{m_L, m_R}(\Xi^2) \times S_{\rho,0}^m(\Xi) &\longrightarrow S_{\rho,0}^{m+m_L+m_R}(\Xi). \end{aligned}$$

# The super product of Hörmander symbols

Again, by applying oscillatory integral techniques, we get the following results. We assume  $B$  satisfies (B),  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon, \lambda \leq 1$ .

## Proposition (L.-Lein)

*The map  $(F, G) \mapsto F \#^B G$  gives rise to continuous bilinear maps,*

$$\#^B : S_{\rho,0}^m(\Xi^2) \times S_{\rho,0}^{m'}(\Xi^2) \longrightarrow S_{\rho,0}^{m+m'}(\Xi^2)$$

$$\#^B : S_{\rho,0}^{m_L, m_R}(\Xi^2) \times S_{\rho,0}^{m'_L, m'_R}(\Xi^2) \longrightarrow S_{\rho,0}^{m_L+m'_L, m_R+m'_R}(\Xi^2).$$

# Asymptotic expansion

Both  $\bullet^B$  and  $\sharp^B$  can be asymptotically expanded in  $\varepsilon$  and  $\lambda$ :

## Theorem (L.-Lein)

Assume  $B$  satisfies (B). Then the following holds.

(1) Let  $F \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\Xi^2)$  and  $g \in S_{\rho,0}^{m'}(\Xi)$ . Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$F \bullet^B g = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^n \varepsilon^n \lambda^k (F \bullet^B g)_{(n,k)} + \tilde{R}_N, \quad (*)$$

where  $(F \bullet^B g)_{(n,k)} \in S_{\rho,0}^{m+m'-\rho(n+k)}(\Xi)$ ,  $\tilde{R}_N \in S_{\rho,0}^{m+m'-\rho(N+1)}(\Xi)$  and the semi-norms of  $\tilde{R}_N$  is sufficiently small.

(2) If  $F \in S_{\rho,0}^{m_L, m_R}(\Xi^2)$  and  $g \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\Xi)$ , then we also have an asymptotic expansion of  $F \bullet^B g$  as in (\*). In this case,

$(F \bullet^B g)_{(n,k)} \in S_{\rho,0}^{m+m_L+m_R-\rho(n+k)}(\Xi)$ ,  $\tilde{R}_N \in S_{\rho,0}^{m+m_L+m_R-\rho(N+1)}(\Xi)$  and the semi-norms of  $\tilde{R}_N$  is sufficiently small.

## Theorem (L.-Lein)

Assume  $B$  satisfies (B) and let  $F \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\Xi^2)$  and  $G \in S_{\rho,0}^{m'}(\Xi^2)$ . Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$F \sharp^B G = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^n \varepsilon^n \lambda^k (F \sharp^B G)_{(n,k)} + \tilde{R}_N,$$

where  $(F \sharp^B G)_{(n,k)} \in S_{\rho,0}^{m+m'-\rho(n+k)}(\Xi^2)$ ,  $\tilde{R}_N \in S_{\rho,0}^{m+m'-\rho(N+1)}(\Xi^2)$  and the semi-norm of  $\tilde{R}_N$  is sufficiently small.

## Theorem (L.-Lein)

Suppose  $B$  satisfies (B) and let  $F \in S_{\rho,0}^0(\Xi^2)$ ,  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ . Then  $\text{Op}^A(F)$  gives rise to a bounded linear operator from  $\mathcal{L}_2$  to itself.

Our proof is based on the Parseval frame method which can be found in [CHP24].

# Noncommutative Euclidean spaces

- $\theta :=$  a real skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix.
- For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the unitary operator  $\lambda_\theta(t)$  on  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by letting

$$(\lambda_\theta(t)f)(x) = e^{it \cdot x} f(x - \frac{1}{2}\theta t).$$

- We have

$$\lambda_\theta(t)\lambda_\theta(s) = e^{\frac{i}{2}t \cdot \theta s} \lambda_\theta(t+s) \quad \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

## Definition (NC Euclidean spaces)

$$L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) := \{\lambda_\theta(t); t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}''.$$





Theorem (see, e.g., [GIV06, Ri93])





*There is an isomorphism between von Neumann algebras,*

$$L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \simeq \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^k)) \bar{\otimes} L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^l).$$

- In particular, if  $\theta$  has full rank, then  $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \simeq \mathcal{L}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^{d/2}))$ .
- On the other hand, if  $\theta = 0$ , then  $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_\theta^d) \simeq L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

- The boundedness of  $\text{Op}^A(F)$  on  $\mathcal{L}_p$  for general  $p$ ?
- Generalization to the case of more general von Neumann algebras?
- Generalization to the case of noncommutative Euclidean spaces (i.e., hybrid quantum-classical setting)?

Thank you for your attention!

-  [BR97] Bratteli, O.; Robinson, D.W.: *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. II. Equilibrium states. Models in quantum statistical mechanics*. 2nd edition. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 1997.
-  [CHP24] Cornean, H.D.; Helffer, B.; Purice, R.: *Matrix representation of Magnetic pseudo-differential operators via tight Gabor frames*. To appear in J. Fourier Anal. Appl..
-  [DL17] De Nittis, G.; Lein, M.: *Linear response theory. An analytic-algebraic approach*. Springer Briefs in Mathematical Physics. Springer, 2017.
-  [GIV06] Gayral, V.; Ioachim, B.; Várilly, J.: *Dixmier traces on noncompact isospectral deformations*. J. Funct. Anal. **237** (2006), 507–539.

# References

- [IMP07] Iftimie, V.; Măntoiu, M.; Purice, R.: *Magnetic pseudodifferential operators*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **44** (2007), 585–623.
- [LL22] Lee, G.; Lein, M.: *A calculus for magnetic pseudodifferential super operators*. J. Math. Phys. **63**, 103506, (2022).
- [LL24] Lee, G.; Lein, M.: *A proof of  $\mathcal{L}^2$ -boundedness for magnetic pseudodifferential super operators via matrix representations with respect to Parseval frames*. In preparation.
- [Le10] Lein, M.: *Two-parameter asymptotics in magnetic Weyl calculus*. J. Math. Phys. **51**, 123519 (2010).
- [MP04] Măntoiu, M.; Purice, R.: *The magnetic Weyl calculus*. J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004), no. 4, 1394–1417.
- [Ri93] Rieffel, M.: *Deformation quantization for actions of  $R^d$* . Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1993), no. 506, 93 pp..